UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,675	12/22/2005	Tatsuro Chiba	44471/315492 8659		
23370 JOHN S. PRAT	7590 07/28/200 CT. ESO	9	EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP	PRENDERGAST, ROBERTA D			
1100 PEACHT SUITE 2800	KEE SIKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, GA	A 30309	2628			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2009	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/533,675		CHIBA, TATSURO		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		ROBERTA F	RENDERGAST	2628		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication a	ppears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available up after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing if NO period for reply is specified abover Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 10 date of this communication. In the maximum statutory perioned period for reply will, by statument three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, and will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
Responsive to communication is FINAL.  3) Since this application is closed in accordance visconial contents.	2b)∏ Th s in condition for allow	nis action is nor ance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)	s) is/are withdr illowed. <u>d 13-16</u> is/are rejecto objected to.	ed.	ideration.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objection  10) The drawing(s) filed on  Applicant may not request  Replacement drawing shection  11) The oath or declaration	is/are: a) ☐ act that any objection to the eet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b)  ne drawing(s) be nection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-4)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr  3) Information Disclosure Statement( Paper No(s)/Mail Date	awing Review (PTO-948)	4 5 6	· 🖶	ate		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 depends from cancelled claim 4. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 8, filed 4/24/2009, that overcomes the rejection under 35 USC § 101 and therefore the rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC § 101 is hereby withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

Examiner acknowledges the amendment canceling claim 7, filed 4/24/2009, that overcomes the objection under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof and therefore the objection of claim 7 under 37 CFR 1.75 is hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Examiner acknowledges the amendment of claim 5, filed 7/28/2008, that overcomes the rejection under the second paragraph of 35 USC § 112 and therefore the rejection of claim 5 under the second paragraph of 35 USC § 112 is hereby withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2628

Examiner acknowledges the amendment canceling claims 10-12, filed 7/28/2008, that overcomes the rejection under the second paragraph of 35 USC § 112 and therefore the rejection of claims 10-12 under the second paragraph of 35 USC § 112 is hereby withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-9 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii U.S. Patent No. 6272448 in view of R Yokoyama, M Shirasawa, Y Kikuchi, "TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURE REPRESENTATION BY OPENNESS MAPS", International Symposium on Remote Sensing, 2000 - register.itfind.or.kr, Google Scholar, Pages 1-8, hereinafter Yokoyama et al.

Referring to claim 1, Ishii teaches a visualization processing system comprising: a computer (Fig. 1; column 4, lines 15-19, i.e. an apparatus having a memory device, a processor, an input device, an output device, and a control device that are connected by bus lines is understood to be a computer);

a set of data structures employed as computer components of the computer, the set of data structures defining a vector field, a three-dimensional coordinate space, and a two-dimensional plane (column 3, lines 13-25; column 4, lines 20-22 and 33-34;

column 5, lines 29-33; column 6, lines 25-32; column 9, lines 12-25, i.e. a memory device provided with regions for storing the contour data and the DTM generated by the apparatus wherein a gradient vector is determined from the elevational values of a point (x,y) and its eight-points neighborhood such that a gradient vector field is determined and setting an imaginary projection plane for the process of three-dimensional projection is understood to indicate the data structures as claimed); and

Page 4

a set of computer programs employed as computer components of the computer (column 4, lines 23-32 and 35-36, i.e. a memory device having regions for storing a program to produce the initial DTM, a program to operate the smoothing operator, a program to set boundary conditions, and a control program OS such that the control device controls the respective devices for executing the program indicates a set of programs as claimed), the set of computer programs comprising:

a first subset thereof for mapping the vector field in the three-dimensional coordinate space to obtain a corresponding sequence of coordinate points (column 5, lines 29-47; column 6, lines 27-32, i.e. a gradient vector field is determined and then discrete integral line calculation is performed obtain the flowing water line consisting of consecutive lattice points that passes the point (x,y) thus indicating mapping the vector field in the three-dimensional coordinate space (defined by elevational values for points (x,y));

a second subset thereof for determining an elevation degree connecting the sequence of coordinate points; and a third subset thereof for determining a depression degree connecting the sequence of coordinate points (column 6, lines 53-65; column 7, Art Unit: 2628

lines 11-17, i.e. it is determined whether a point is in a ridge-valley area and if a point belongs to a ridge-valley area, a smoothing operator is performed using a weight wd(x,y) that depends on the degree of ridge (elevation degree) or valley (depression degree) on a point thus indicating the determination of an elevation or depression degree connecting a set of points as currently claimed);

a fourth subset thereof for synthesizing the elevation degree and the depression degree in a weighting manner to determine an elevation-depression degree at said region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points (column 6, lines 53-65; column 7, lines 3-17, i.e. it is determined whether a point is in a ridge-valley area and if a point belongs to a ridge-valley area, a smoothing operator is performed using a weight wd(x,y) that depends on the degree of ridge (elevation degree) or valley (depression degree) on a point thus indicating the synthesizing of the elevation degree and the depression degree in a weighting manner as currently claimed);

a fifth subset thereof for mapping the three-dimensional coordinate space on the two-dimensional plane, providing a tone indication commensurate with the elevation-depression degree of said region to a region on the two-dimensional plane corresponding to the local said region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points (column 9, lines 16-30, i.e. setting an imaginary projection plane for the process of three-dimensional projection such that each point of the DTM (PD) produced by the apparatus is projected to the projection plane and, at the same time, a tone of each point of the DTM on the projection plane (PH) is determined is understood to indicate the data structures as claimed); and

Application/Control Number: 10/533,675

Art Unit: 2628

a sixth subset thereof for determining an inclination distribution of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points, the fifth subset providing on the two-dimensional plane said tone indication for a brightness of a color-toned indication of the inclination distribution (column 9, lines 21-36, i.e. determining a tone of each point of the DTM on the projection plane (PH) based on the elevational value of the point and/or the gradient of the surface at the point and/or the irradiance at the point indicates that the tone is the sixth subset indicating the inclination distribution and the gradient of the surface is the fifth subset indicating brightness of a color-toned indication of the inclination).

Page 6

Ishii does not specifically teach determining an elevation degree as an aboveground opening at a region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points; and a third subset thereof for determining a depression degree as an underground opening at said region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points.

Yokoyama et al. teaches these limitations (page 1, Abstract; pages 2-4, section 2 Overground angle and underground angle; pages 4-5, section 3 Overground openness and underground openness, i.e. each grid point is described by a triplet of (i, j, H) where i and j are column and row numbers on the DEM and H is the elevation, assuming two points of A(i, j, H) and B(i,j, H), the distance P between A and B is given by  $P = M[(i_a-i_b)^2 + (j_a-j_b)^2]^{1/2}$ , the overground elevation angle is  $_D\Phi_L = 90-_D\beta_L$  and the underground depression angle is  $_D\Psi_L = 90+_D\delta_L$  such that the overground openness at a point of object within a distance of L on DEM is defined as  $\Phi_L = 90-(0\Phi_L + 45\Phi_L + 90\Phi_T + 135\Phi_L)$ 

+  $180\phi L + 225\phi L + 270\phi L + 315\phi L)/8$  and the underground openness at a point of object within a distance of L on DEM is defined as  $\psi L = 90$ - $(0\psi L + 45\psi L + 90\psi L + 135\psi L + 180\psi L + 225\psi L + 270\psi L + 315\psi L)/8$  thus indicating that the elevation degree is determined as an aboveground opening and the depression degree is determined as an underground opening as claimed).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system and method of Ishii with the teachings of Yokoyama et al. wherein the elevation degree is determined as an aboveground opening at a region and the depression degree is determined as an aboveground opening at a region thereby providing a system wherein overground openness represents convex features of topography and the underground openness represents concave features of topography such that the opennesses are free from the light source position and robust to noise in the DEM such that specifying the distance L adapted to topographical scale of the object area allows various features of topography to be extracted (Yokoyama et al: pages 6-7, section 5. Conclusion).

Referring to claim 2, the rationale for claim 1 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above, teaches the visualization processing system as claimed in claim 1 but does not specifically teach wherein the elevation degree is defined in terms of a seethrough solid angle at an obverse side within a range of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points.

Yokoyama et al. teaches this limitation (pages 3-4, figures 2-3; pages 3-4, section 2 Overground angle and underground angle, final paragraph; pages 4-5, section

3 Overground openness and underground openness, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, i.e. the overground angle is the maximum Zenithal angle by which a sky along an azimuth D is visible within a range of distance L from a focused sample point thus indicating a see-through solid angle at an obverse side within a range as claimed and defined in paragraph [0083] of the specification).

Page 8

The rationale for combining Ishii with the teachings of Yokoyama et al. as found in the motivation statement of claim 1 above is incorporated herein.

Referring to claim 3, the rationale for claim 2 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above, teaches the visualization processing system as claimed in claim 2 but does not specifically teach wherein the depression degree is defined in terms of a seethrough solid angle at a reverse side within said range of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points.

Yokoyama et al. teaches this limitation (pages 3-4, figures 2-3; pages 3-4, section 2 Overground angle and underground angle, final paragraph; pages 4-5, section 3 Overground openness and underground openness, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, i.e. the underground angle is the maximum nadir angle within a range of distance L, when looking under the ground, standing on the head from a focused sample point thus indicating a see-through solid angle at a reverse side within a range as claimed and defined in paragraph [0084] of the specification).

The rationale for combining Ishii with the teachings of Yokoyama et al. as found in the motivation statement of claim 1 above is incorporated herein.

**Referring to claim 7**, claim 7 recites all of the elements of claim 1 and therefore the rationale for the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein.

Referring to claim 8, the rationale for claim 1 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above, teaches all of the elements of claim 8 that are similar in scope to claim 1 and further teaches displaying on a display the two-dimensional plane with the tone indication (column 9, lines 11-15 and 33-36, i.e. the two-dimensional plane with tone indication results are displayed on a screen to a user).

Referring to claim 9, the rationale for claim 1 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above, teaches all of the elements of claim 9 that are similar in scope to claim 1 and further teaches a computer readable medium encoded with the set of data structures and the set of programs as claimed in claim 1 (Fig. 1(element 2); column 4, lines 20-36, i.e. a memory device comprising regions for storing the data structures and programs claimed in claim 1 is understood to be a computer readable medium as claimed in claim 9, Examiner is interpreting the computer readable medium as being the computer memory taught in the specification as originally filed, see page 10, lines 8-14).

**Referring to claim 13**, claim 13 recites all of the elements of claims 1-3 and therefore the rationale for the rejection of claims 1-3 is incorporated herein.

**Referring to claim 14**, claim 14 recites all of the elements of claims 1 and 13 and therefore the rationale for the rejection of claims 1 and 13 is incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 2628

Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Yokoyama et al., as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Piper, B., Ratti, C., and Ishii, "Illuminating clay: a 3-D tangible interface for landscape analysis", *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems: Changing Our World, Changing Ourselves*, April 20-25, 2002, CHI '02, ACM, NY, NY, pages 355-362, hereinafter Piper et al.

Referring to claim 5, the rationale for claim 1 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above teaches the system as claimed in claim 1 but does not teach wherein the sixth subset provides the color-toned indication of the inclination distribution in red colors.

Piper et al. teaches this limitation (page 358, Slope Variation & Curvature, i.e. the DEM is processed using two Sobel filters to determine the x and y derivatives of the topographic surface such that the absolute value of the resulting gradient function returns the slope at a given point in the topography, the slope/inclination value is then displayed using a color map ranging from red to purple, where the two extremes correspond to the maximum and minimum slope/inclination values thus indicating that the color-toned inclination distribution is indicated in red colors).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system Ishii with the teachings of Yokoyama et al. and Piper et al. wherein the slope is indicated on the terrain model using a color map ranging from red to purple based on the absolute value of the

gradient function thereby providing a thorough understanding of the slope and curvature of the landscape topography, which is extremely important in almost all landscape analysis (Piper et al.: page 358, Slope Variation & Curvature, lines 1-4).

**Referring to claim 15**, claim 15 recites all of the elements of claims 5 and 13 and therefore the rationale for the rejection of claims 5 and 13 is incorporated herein.

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Yokoyama et al., as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Kikukawa ET AL., "Solid Texturing o Riyo Shita 3-Jigen Nin'l Gamenjo ni Okeru Sensekibun Tatamikomiho", The Journal of the Institute of Image Electronics Engineers of Japan, 25 July 2000 (25.07.00), Vol. 29, No. 4,translation and original document, pages 1-3 and 283-291.

Referring to claim 6, the rationale for claim 1 is incorporated herein, Ishii, as modified above teaches the system as claimed in claim 1 but does not teach wherein the elevation degree (B) (claim 2) is defined in terms of a solid angle at one side in the local region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points; the depression degree (C) (claim 3) is defined in terms of a solid angle at the other side in the local region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points; a seventh operator (67) for connecting, among the sequence of coordinate points, those coordinate points equivalent of an attribute in the vector field (70) to obtain an attribute isopleth line (I), and an eighth operator (68) for mapping the attribute isopleth line (I) on the two-dimensional plane (90) given the tone indication (F).

Art Unit: 2628

Kikukawa et al. teaches a seventh subset thereof for connecting, among the sequence of coordinate points, those coordinate points equivalent of an attribute in the vector field to obtain an attribute isopleth line; and an eighth subset thereof for mapping the attribute isopleth line on the two-dimensional plane given said tone indication (translation, pages 1-3, i.e. a 3d lattice has coordinates points covering the physical body such that streamline points are approximated as a broken line that connects a tangent extended along the vector to each cell of the lattice thus generating connecting coordinate points and generating isopleth lines, the direction toward the surface/component plane is mapped as hue, and the magnitude is mapped as saturation thus indicating that the flow lines are mapped on the 2d plane by tone).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system Ishii with the teachings of Yokoyama et al. and Kikukawa et al. wherein streamline points are approximated as a broken line that connects a tangent extended along the vector to each cell of the 3D lattice thus connecting coordinate points and generating isopleths lines thereby providing a very powerful Line Integral Convolution (LIC) vector field visualization technique that can effectively reveal the global and complex structures of a flow field that can extend LIC for visualizing the vector field on any arbitrary 3d surfaces, such as a contour surface or a surface of a 3d object represented implicitly as a part of a curvilinear or unstructured grid (Kikukawa et al. page 283, summary).

**Referring to claim 16**, claim 16 recites all of the elements of claims 6 and 13 and therefore the rationale for the rejection of claims 6 and 13 is incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 2628

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "According to an embodiment of the present invention, a second processing file 62 is adapted to verify, for a respective plane region Sm, a local region Lm+ at an obverse side (Z+ side) of the curved plane S residing within a prescribed radius from a focused point Qm thereof, and determine a degree of openness defined thereby Wm+ about the focused point Qm (Fig. 2, process P2), storing it as an elevation degree of the plane region Sm. [0083]. According to the same embodiment, a third processing file 63 is adapted to verify, for the plane region Sm, a local region Lm- at a reverse side (Z- side) of the curved plane S residing within the prescribed radius from the focused point Qm, and determine a degree of openness defined thereby Wm- about the focused point Qm (Fig. 2, process P3), storing it as a depression degree of the plane region Sm. [0084]. ", see Remarks: page 4, lines 1-10, and "...separately determining if the point (x, y) is higher or if the point (x, y) is lower to determine an elevation degree and a depression degree, see Remarks: page 5, lines 3-4.) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2628

Applicant argues, with respect to claim 1, that "Ishii does not describe or suggest, "a second subset thereof for determining an elevation degree as an aboveground opening at a region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points" and "a third subset thereof for determining a depression degree as an underground opening at said region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points," as required by Claim 1..." and "Ishii's hL is a simple average of elevational values of four adjacent points in orthogonal X-axis and Y-axis directions about the point (x, y) (in an associated course of iteration). Ishii details that the degree of ridge or valley is given as a simple binary value "1" (Yes) or "0" (No) in accordance with the condition if a+ \* a > 0 or b+ \* b >0, and should be "1" in the case where the point (x, y) belongs to the ridge-valley area. Thus, the weight Wd is a simple constant on the point (x, y). Therefore, Ishii's operation h'(x, y) = (h(x, y) + Wd(X, y) \* hL(x, y))/(1+Wd(X, y)) is no more than  $\{h(x, y) + hL(x, y)\}/(1+Wd(X, y))$  is no more than  $\{h(x, y) + hL(x, y)\}/(1+Wd(X, y))$ (constant) \* (average)}/{I+(constant)}, which represents a simple linear expression h' = A \* h(x, y) + B \* (average), where A and B are constants. Ishii determines the binary degree of "ridge or valley" on a point in a simple manner, for the necessary correction to estimate water flow lines between contour lines, in a ridge-valley area. The operation taught by Ishii is not a synthesis of the elevation degree and depression degree. More specifically, Ishii does not teach or suggest synthesizing an elevation degree and a depression degree in any manner to determine an elevation-depression degree, at a region of a plane. Ishii begins with a set of scalar data on a number of contour lines, and uses computational iteration to estimate an imaginary plane to be as natural as possible for interconnection between the contour lines. See, Col. 2, 11. 35-40."

Art Unit: 2628

Examiner respectfully submits that Ishii teaches that a point is in a ridge-valley area and if a point belongs to a ridge-valley area, a smoothing operator is performed using a weight wd(x,y) that depends on the degree of ridge (elevation degree) or valley (depression degree) on a point thus indicating the determination of an elevation or depression degree, see column 6, lines 53-65; column 7, lines 1-17. Ishii clearly teaches wherein the weight wd(x,y) depends on the degree of ridge or degree of valley on a point (x,y) and thus the weight is not a simple binary "0" or "1" value as applicant suggests. Since applicant teaches wherein the degree of elevation is w+ and the degree of depression is w- such that the weighting is defined on w+=w-=0 and depends on which of a ridge and valley is to be put above, see specification page 12, lines 2-13, then applying a weight to indicate a degree of ridge or a degree of valley to a smoothing operator is applicable to the limitations as currently claimed, since the degree of ridge or the degree of valley must be determined in order to produce the weight dependent upon those properties and since the applied weighting manner is not defined in the claim language. Further, the primary reference Ishii is modified by the teachings of secondary reference Yokoyama et al. to include determining an elevation degree as an aboveground opening at a region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points and determining a depression degree as an underground opening at said region of the plane connecting the sequence of coordinate points, see Yokoyama et al.: page 1, Abstract; pages 2-4, section 2 Overground angle and underground angle; pages 4-5, section 3 Overground openness and underground openness. Thus the combination of Ishii and Yokoyama et al. teaches all of the limitations of claim 1 as currently claimed.

Art Unit: 2628

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "...Claim 1 processes a set of existing vector data mapped in a three- dimensional space, and uses the concepts of aboveground opening and underground opening in a synthesizing manner for a non-linear thinning of real data to generate a tone enhanced stereoscopic image... Claim 1 does not simply address problems associated with mutual cancellation between elevation and depression within the same region, but also provides increased emphasis on adjacent sets of consecutive extreme convex points, as well as an increased emphasis on adjacent sets of consecutive extreme concave points, resulting in a tone enhanced stereoscopic image...", see Remarks, page 6, lines 14-23) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With respect to Applicants arguments regarding claims 5-6 and 15-16, Examiner respectfully request that applicant look to the response provided above with respect to claim 1.

Applicant then argues, with respect to the Piper reference, that "Piper does not discuss or suggest the tone indication for a brightness of a color-toned indication of an inclination distribution. Piper does not disclose or suggest providing the color-toned indication of the inclination distribution in red colors, as required by Claim 5."

Examiner respectfully submits that Piper teaches that the DEM is processed using two Sobel filters to determine the x and y derivatives of the topographic surface

Art Unit: 2628

such that the absolute value of the resulting gradient function returns the slope at a given point in the topography, the slope/inclination value is then displayed using a color map ranging from red to purple, where the two extremes correspond to the maximum and minimum slope/inclination values thus indicating that the color-toned inclination distribution is indicated in red colors, see page 358, Slope Variation & Curvature. Thus the combination of primary reference Ishii with secondary reference Piper does teach providing the color-toned indication in red colors as claimed.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2628

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERTA PRENDERGAST whose telephone number is (571)272-7647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ulka Chauhan can be reached on (571) 272-7782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roberta Prendergast/ Examiner, Art Unit 2628 7/17/2009

/Ulka Chauhan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628